Phase memory across two single photon interferometers including wavelength conversion

A. Heuer, S. Raabe, R. Menzel
Photonik, Institut für Physik und Astronomie, Universität Potsdam, D-14469 Potsdam, Germany
(Dated: February 19, 2014)

Detailed investigations of single photon 1st-order interferences of separated emitters allow for analyzing the role of the mode function in quantum optics. In an earlier Youngs double slit experiment using a higher spatial light mode some possibly new aspects of complementarity were demonstrated. Here the chances of using induced coherence in spontaneous down conversion (SPDC) are experimentally investigated. SPDC in a nonlinear crystal generates two single photons (signal and idler) with random, but conjugated phases. Thus no interference between them occurs. But coherence can be induced between the two separated crystals. Decreasing the pump intensity allows the generation of just one photon pair from one of the crystals during one measuring interval, resulting in 1st-order interference of single photons. Varying the phase delay between the pump beams for the two crystals results in 1st-order single photon interference but with a fringe period of about half of the photon wavelength. This phase memory effect causes coherence across the two coupled interferometers. And even for pump photon delays much larger than the coherence length of the detected single photons the visibility is above 90%. Therefore this phase memory is a useful tool for investigating the wave-particle dualism in future experiments.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Ar, 42.50.Dv

arXiv:1402.4361v1 [quant-ph] 18 Feb 2014

Complementarity is discussed in quantum optics in numerous studies [1–3] as wave-particle dualism and this topic is of unchanged interest up to now [4, 5]. To investigate specific details of duality with light the 1st-order interference of single photon waves is observed. For providing particle like information of the single photons in these interference experiments at least two paths have to be distinguishable. Recently it was possible to obtain single photon interference behind a double slit while from a reference photon measurement which-path information was available, simultaneously [5]. In this experiment the spatial localization of the photons in one of the two intensity humps of the coherent TEM_{01}-light mode allowed for the which-slit information whereas the coherent mode function generated the single photon interference pattern.

Thus it seems to be of general interest to verify other experimental configurations, which allow for single photon interference generated from two different sources and providing a reference photon. As shown below, induced coherence in spontaneous parametric down conversion (SPDC) maybe a good candidate for this purpose.

The down-converted signal and idler photons have no fixed phase relation and therefore no 1^{st}-order interference effects between them can occur. But the two photons as a combined entity (biphoton) carry phase information about the pump field [6] which can be observed by biphoton interference experiments [9]. The biphoton phase is determined by the phase of the pump field as described in [10] and here below. This is the basis of several kinds of two-photon interference effects [7, 8].

But in addition coherence can be induced between the two related photons of the down-converted pairs (signal-signal and idler-idler) from two separated crystals by induced coherence [11, 12]. In this case the two crystals were simultaneously excited by the same pump laser and e.g. the idler photon modes were matched in the sequential arrangement of these crystals (see figure 1). By decreasing the pump intensity the generation of just one photon pair from one of the crystals during one measuring interval can be realized. In this case the single common signal photon emitted from two crystals, simultaneously, shows 1^{st}-order interference [11–14]. As expected the fringe period equals the signal photon wavelength. But this single photon interference can be controlled by varying the phase delay between the pump beams for the two crystals, too. In this case the 1^{st}-order interference of the signal photon shows a fringe period corresponding to the pump photon wavelength. This phase memory effect becomes understandable as a phase transport via the single photon modes. This phase memory survives the transport across both, the pump and the signal interferometers, the frequency conversion in the crystal and the induced coherence channel. And in addition even for pump photon delays much larger than the coherence length of the signal photon the visibility is above 90%. Therefore this type of experiments can be used to evaluate the relation of single photons and their spatial mode in future.

Our experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. The pump laser (Genesis, Coherent) provides almost diffraction limited cw radiation at 355 nm with a spectral bandwidth of about 45 GHz resulting in a coherence length of about 1.4 mm. A beam splitter was used to divide the pump beam into two almost identical coherent sub-beams to pump two BBO crystals. The crystals serving as parametric down converters had a length of 4 mm and were slightly tilted with respect to the pump beam. The whole setup



FIG. 1. Experimental setup for observing phase memory in single photon interference: For parametric down conversion two 4 mm long BBO crystals were used. The variable pump path length difference Δx_p was realized by a high resolution delay line in the path of pump beam p_2. The path length difference between the two signal beams Δx_s was realized by a high resolution (minimum step size 10 nm) translation stage. D_A and D_B are fiber coupled avalanche photo diodes SPCM-AQRH-13 from Perkin Elmer

was arranged with filters and apertures to detect signal photons at a wavelength of 808 nm and the corresponding idler photons at a wavelength of 632 nm.

The idler output of the crystal BBO_1 was used to seed the SPDC process in the crystal BBO_2 as described in [11]. Much care had to be taken to match the transversal mode of the idler i_1 with the one of idler i_2 generated by the crystal BBO_2. The signal output of the crystal BBO_2 was overlapped at the beam splitter BS_2 with the signal output of crystal BBO_1. For realizing the necessary zero delay between the two signal beam paths at the beam splitter BS_2 a delay line (Δx_s) was inserted in the beam s_1 between the crystal BBO_1 and the beam splitter BS_2. The photons were detected with fiber coupled avalanche photo diodes (SPCM-AQRH-13, Perkin Elmer) at the positions D_A and D_B. At D_B both idler photons from the crystal BBO_1 or BBO_2 were recorded. With the photo diode D_A the signal photons from crystal BBO_1 and crystal BBO_2 were measured. With the filter F_1 the spectral position around 632 nm and the bandwidth of about 3 nm (FWHM) of the detected idler photons were selected. The filter F_2 in front of the signal photon detector D_A had center wavelength of 808 nm and bandwidth of 2 nm (FWHM). For alignment purposes an EMCCD-camera (Andor Ixus) was positioned at the second port of the beam splitter BS_2 to check the transversal overlap of the two signal beams from the two crystals.

A second delay line Δx_p was positioned in the pump beam p_2 for the crystal BBO_2 to vary the phase between the two pump beams in the two crystals. The signal photon interference of 1^{st}-order was measured with the detector D_A as a function of either the path length difference of the pump beams Δx_p or the path length difference between the two signal beams Δx_s. In addition with the detectors D_A and D_B the coincidence between the signal and the idler photons could be detected as a function of both delays.

The pump power for the two crystals was set to 38 mW. The resulting count rates at the detectors were 42,000 photons/sec and 110,000 photons/sec for D_A and D_B, respectively. Under these conditions the probability for simultaneous generation of two bi-photons during the measuring period of 2 ns was less than 10^{-2}. This insured induced-coherence instead of stimulated emission as mechanism for the generation of the second biphoton and thus, the induced emission can be neglected under these circumstances. So far all interference effects between the biphotons generated by crystal BBO_1 and BBO_2 were based on phase memory effects.

The most elementary theoretical description of the observed experimental results is based on a single-mode formalism. The original pump state is transformed by the first beam splitter BS_1 into an entangled state for the two pump photon paths p_1 and p_2 . The propagation delay in pump path p_2 leads to a phase shift

$$\Delta\varphi_p = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_p}\Delta x_p \tag{1}$$

compared to the photons in path p_1. Incorporating this phase shift, the pump state results in

$$|\Psi_p\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|1\rangle_{p1}|0\rangle_{p2} + i\,e^{i\Delta\varphi_p}|0\rangle_{p1}|1\rangle_{p2}\right). \tag{2}$$

Inside the two SPDC-crystals BBO_1 and BBO_2 pairs of a signal and an idler photons are generated with an efficiency η. Thus after the two down-converters and with the additional phase shift $\Delta\varphi_s = 2\pi/\lambda_s\Delta x_s$ of the signal beam s_1 the overall state Ψ before BS_2 is given by

$$|\Psi\rangle \approx \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle_{p1}|0\rangle_{p2}\left[\,|1\rangle_{s1}|1\rangle_{i1}|0\rangle_{s2}|0\rangle_{i2} + i\,e^{i(\Delta\varphi_p+\Delta\varphi_s)}|0\rangle_{s1}|0\rangle_{i1}|1\rangle_{s2}|1\rangle_{i2}\right]. \tag{3}$$

If both idler beams are perfectly overlapping and are therefore indistinguishable with respect to the measurement with detector D_B we can write $i_1 = i_2 = i$. This simplifies this formula to

$$|\Psi\rangle \approx \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle_{p1}|0\rangle_{p2}|1\rangle_{i}\left[\,|1\rangle_{s1}|0\rangle_{s2} + i\,e^{i(\Delta\varphi_p+\Delta\varphi_s)}|0\rangle_{s1}|1\rangle_{s2}\right]. \tag{4}$$

The expression inside the brackets is similar to the state of a single photon inside a Mach-Zehnder interferometer with a total phase shift $\Delta\varphi = \Delta\varphi_p + \Delta\varphi_s$ It is implied that the phase of the idler photon i_2 is induced by the idler photon i_1. Thus the pump photon p_2 synchronizes the phase of the signal photon s_2 to the phase of the signal photon s_1 which is generated by the pump photon p_1. Aligning this interferometer for the signal photons s_1 and s_2 including the optical path between the crystals BBO_1 and BBO_2 via i_1 results in interference of 1^{st}-order for the signal photon at the beam splitter BS_2. This can be measured using detector D_A as shown in Fig. 1. The observed detection rate P_A

$$P_A \approx \frac{\eta^2}{2}\big(\,1 + cos(\Delta\varphi_p + \Delta\varphi_s)\big) \qquad (5)$$

at this detector D_A is a function of the two delays.This single photon detection contains information about signal and pump phase as given in equations (2) and (5).
The phase influence as a function of the delays in the pump beam and in the signal beam can also be observed in the coincidence rate P_{AB} between the detectors D_A and D_B.

$$P_{AB} \approx \frac{\eta^2}{2}\big(\,1 + cos(\Delta\varphi_p + \Delta\varphi_s)\big)\ . \qquad (6)$$

This theoretical description based on a single-mode formalism shows clearly how the interference effect of 1^{st}-order measured at D_A and the interference effect of the coincidence measured at D_A and D_B is influenced by both phase differences, between the pump beams on one hand and between the signal beams on the other.
In a first measurement the coincidence rate between the detectors D_A and D_B was obtained as a function of the signal path length difference Δx_s over several periods of the wavelengths. The result is shown in Fig. 2d.

As can be seen in Fig. 2d a good contrast in the coincidence rate could be observed. The visibility of this measurement was approximately 92%. This indicates a high degree of the overlap of the two idler-photon beams towards the detector D_B. The sinusoidal function used for the best fitting resulted in a period of 808 nm, which corresponds to the wavelength of the signal photon. So far this experimental result is an excellent proof of the induced-coherence effect in the second crystal (BBO_2). As discussed above this effect should also be observable in the 1^{st}-order interference of the signal photons. The result of the count rate of detector D_A alone as a function of the same signal path length difference Δx_s as above is given in Fig. 2b.
This 1^{st}-order interference shows a modulation with the detuning of the "Mach-Zehnder interferometer" of the signal photons, as discussed above. The best fitting led again to a period of 808 nm as observed in Fig. 2b. Thus the 1^{st}-order interference is in exact agreement with the coincidence measurement . The interference is visible for



FIG. 2. a,b) Measured single count rate P_A of detector D_A as a function of the signal path length difference Δx_s and c,d) measured coincidence rate P_{AB} between detector D_A and D_B as a function of the signal path length difference Δx_s. a,c) Coarse scan over the entire region where interfernce occurs, and b,d) High resolution scan to visualize the fringe periode. The solid curves are the best fitting sinusoidal functions resulting in a period of 808 nm.

signal path length differences up to $\pm 200\ \mu$m. The shape and the width of this interference signal is given by the spectral function of the interference filter in front of the detector D_A. The visibility of the 1^{st}-order interference reaches a maximum value of 70% and is lower as in the coincidence measurement. In general the induced coherence setup is very sensitive to the mode match of the two idler beams. It has been demonstrated by Grayson et al. [15] that due to the spatial non-overlap resulting from the divergence properties of the down-conversion the visibility is reduced, even for perfect alignments. They also predict that it is easier to achieve higher visibility in second-order interference than in first order, because the single photon interference is much more critical to any kind of losses between the two idler beams.
For demonstrating the phase memory effect in parametric down conversion with induced-coherence over both interferometers we measured the coincidence rate between the detectors D_A and D_B but now as a function of the pump path length difference Δx_p. The result is shown in Fig. 3c and 3d. These curves show, to our knowledge for the first time, the influence of the pump phase in an induced-coherence experiment.
The visibility in this coincidence measurement is 91%. The best fitting sinusoidal function (solid curve) resulted now in a period of 355 n, although the interfering signal photons have a wavelength of 808 nm. This period agrees



FIG. 3. a, b) Measured single count rate P_A of detector D_A as a function of the pump path length difference Δx_p and c,d) measured coincidence rate P_{AB} between detector D_A and D_B as a function of the pump path length difference Δx_p. a,c) Scan over the entire coherence region, and b,d) zoom to visualize the fringe period. The solid curves are the best fitting sinusoidal functions resulting in a period of 355 nm.

exactly with the wavelength of the used pump laser. Regarding the described theoretical background this has to be expected. So far this experiment can be viewed as the biphoton interference effect based on the generation of the biphotons in crystals BBO_1 or BBO_2. These two possibilities interfere with each other transporting the phase memory of the generation process of the two biphotons with the pump laser wavelength. So far, as described theoretically, the phase memory of the pump photons is imprinted in the generated pairs of signal and idler photons from the two crystals.

But the result of the following measurement seems to be counterintuitive where the same effects are observed in the signal photon count rate of detector D_A again as a function of a pump path length difference of Δx_p. The result is shown in Fig. 3b.

The best fitting period is again exactly 355 nm as in the previous measurement. Thus in a single photon interference measurement (via the "Mach-Zehnder interferometer") an interference effect can be observed with about half the wavelength of the observed photons. The pump path difference Δx_p can be detuned over a more than ten times wider range than the signal path difference Δx_s while obtaining interference (see Fig. 3a and 3c). Even for pump photon delays larger than the coherence length of the signal photon the visibility is above 90%. As described above, these results can be understood with a simplified single-mode description. Our experiments demonstrate this quantum effect clearly. The observed interference effect of 1^{st}-order using single photons at the beam splitter BS_2 demonstrates the phase memory of the parametric down conversion in both crystals.

In conclusion, the phase memory effect in SPDC generation was demonstrated by single photon interferometry, to our knowledge, for the first time. The phase memory is initialized by the pump photons in the first crystal and is "transported" via the entangled idler beam to the second crystal. Thus the phase memory could be observed in coincidence. But it was also clearly observed in the interference of 1^{st}-order with single photons. In these experiments one part of the "Mach-Zehnder interferometer" was realized as induced-coherence channel from the first to the second crystal. Although the signal photon had a wavelength of 808 nm the modulation of the observed 1^{st}-order interference showed a period of 355 nm which is exactly the wavelength of applied pump laser light.

The phase memory is transported across the whole setup. Even the measured single photons in the interference experiment "memorized" the information about the original pump phase relationship. The observed high visibility in these experiments indicates perfect coherence transfer. The experimental results were theoretically described by a simple model using just one longitudinal mode for the pump, for the signal and for the idler photons, each. So far the experiment demonstrates how phase memory is transported and opens up possible new experiments regarding complementarity and which path information.

We are grateful to Wolfgang Schleich for valuable and illuminating discussions.

[1] Y. Aharonov, M. S. Zubairy, Science **307**, 875 (2005).
[2] P. Storey, S. Tan, M. Collett, D. Walls, Nature **367**, 626 (1994).
[3] M. O. Scully, B. G. Englert, H. Walther, Nature **351**, 111 (1991).
[4] S. Kocsis, B. Braverman, S. Ravets, M. J. Stevens, R. P. Mirin, L. K. Shalm, A. M. Steinberg, Science **332**, 1170 (2011).
[5] R. Menzel, D. Puhlmann, A. Heuer and W. P. Schleich, PNAS **109**, 9314 (2012).
[6] P. Grangier, M. J. Potasek and B. Yurke, Phys. Rev. A. **38**, 3132 (1988).
[7] A. K. Jha, M. N. OSullivan, K. W. Clifford Chan and R. W. Boyd, Phys. Rev A **77**, 021801(R) (2008).
[8] Z. Y. Jeff Ou, *Multi-Photon Quantum Interference* (Springer, New York, 2007).
[9] Z. Y. Ou, L. J. Wang and L. Mandel, Phys. Rev. A. **41**, 566 (1990).
[10] Z. Y. Ou, L. J. Wang and L. Mandel, Phys. Rev. A. **40**, 1428 (1989).
[11] X. Y. Zhou, L. J. Wang and L. Mandel, Phys. Rev. Lett.

67, 318 (1991).
[12] L. J. Wang, X. Y. Zhou and L. Mandel, Phys. Rev. A **44**, 4614 (1991).
[13] X. Y. Zhou, T. P. Grayson, G. A. Barbosa and L. Mandel, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 2293 (1993).
[14] T. P. Grayson, J. R. Torgerson and G. A. Barbosa, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 626 (1994).
[15] T. P. Grayson and G. A. Barbosa, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 2948 (1994).